Participating Organizations Alliance for a Living Ocean American Littoral Society Arthur Kill Coalition Asbury Park Fishing Club Bayberry Garden Club Bayshore Saltwater Flyrodders Belford Seafood Co-op Belmar Fishing Club Beneath The Sea Bergen Save the Watershed Action Network keley Shores Homeowners Civic Association Berkeley Cape May Environmental Commission Central Jersey Angler Central Jersey Anglers Gitizens Conservation Council of Ocean County Clean Air Campaign Coalition Against Toxics Coastal Jersey Parrot Head Club Coastal Jersey Parrot Head Club Coast Alliance Communication Workney of America Local 1034 Communication Workers of America, Local 1034 Concerned Busine sses of COA Concerned Businesses of COA Concerned Citizens of Bensonhurst Concerned Citizens of COA Concerned Citizens of Montauk Dool's Sea Roamers Eastern Monnouth Chamber of Commerce Environmental Response Network Explorers Dive Club Fisheries Defense Fund Fishernes Detense Fund Fishermen's Dock Cooperative Fisher's Island Conservancy Friends of Liberty State Park Friends of Liberty State Park Friends of Long Island Sound Friends of the Boardwalk Garden Club of Englewood Garden Club of Fair Haven Garden Club of Fair Haven Garden Club of Long Beach Island Garden Club of Morristown Garden Club of Navesink Garden Club of New Jersey Garden Club of New Vernon Garden Club of Oceanport Garden Club of Princeton Garden Club of Ridgewood Garden Club of Rudgewood Garden Club of Rumson Garden Club of Short Hills Garden Club of Shrewsbury Garden Club of Spring Lake Garden Club of Washington Valley Great Egg Harbor Watershed Association Highlands Business Partnership Highlands Business Partnership Highlands Chamber of Commerce Highlands Chamber of Commerce Jersey Coast Shack Anglers Jersey Chaot Shack Anglers Jersey Shore Captains Association, J Jersey Shore Captains Association Jersey Shore Running Club Junior League of Monmouth County Junior League of Monmouth County Junior League of Monmouth Kiwanis Club of Manasquan Kiwanis Club of Manasquan Kiwanis Club of Manasquan Kiwanis Club of Mandow Lake Village Leonardo Party & Pleasure Boat Association Leonardo Tax Payers Association Main Street Wildwood Marine Trades Association of NJ Mommouth Conservation Foundation Marne Tradés Association ol NJ Monmouth Conservation Foundation Monmouth County Association of Realtors Monmouth County Audubon Society Monmouth County Friends of Clearwater Montauk Fisherman's Emergency Fund National Coalition for Marine Conservation Natural Resources Protective Association Navesink River Municipalities Committee Navesink River Municipalities Committee Newcomers Club of Monmouth County NJ Beach Buggy Association NJ Commercial Fishermen's Association NJ Council of Dive Clubs NJ Environmental Federation NJ Environmental Lobby NJ Marine Educators Association NJ PIRG Citizen Lobb NJ PIRG Citizen Lobby NJ Sierra Club NJ Windsurfing Association Nottingham Hunting & Fishing Club NYC Sea Gypsies NY/NJ Baykeeper NY Marine Educators Association Ocean Advocates Ocean Conservar Ocean Conservancy Ocean County Citizens for Clean Water Ocean Divas Ocean Wreck Divers Outreach/First Presbyterian Church of Rumson Picatinny Saltwater Sportsmen Club Raritan Riverkeeper Riverside Drive Association Riversule Drive Association Rotary Club of Long Branch Saint George's by the River Church, Rumson Saltwater Anglers of Bergen County Sandy Hook Bay Catamaran Club Save Barnegat Bay Save the Bay SEAS Monmouth SEAS Monmouth Seaweeders Garden Club Shark River Cleanup Coalition Shark River Surf Anglers Sheepshead Bay Fishing Fleet Association Shore Adventure Club Shore Streng Club Shore Surf Club Sierra Club, Shore Chapter Sierra Club, Shore Chapter Soroptimist Club of Cape May County South Monmouth Board of Realtors Staten Island Friends of Clearvater Strathmere Fishing & Environmental Alliance Surfers' Environmental Alliance Surfrider Foundation, Jersey Shore Chapter TACK I Terra Nova Garden Club Ierra Nova Garden Libu Unitarian Universalist Congregation of Mon. County United Boatmen of NY/NJ United Boatmens of NJ Volunteer Friends of Boaters Waterspirit Women's Club of Brick Township Wiener's Club of Brick Township Women's Club of Keyport Women's Club of Long Branch Women's Club of Merchantville Zen Society Printed on 100% post-consumer recycledpaper.



Ocean Advocacy

Since 1984

■ Main Office 18 Hartshorne Drive P.O. Box 505, Sandy Hook Highlands, NJ 07732-0505 Voice: 732-872-0111 Fax: 732-872-8041 SandyHook@CleanOceanAction.org

May 11, 2009

Mr. Steven Schumach, Project Manager Mr. Richard L. Tomer, Chief, Regulatory Branch US Army Corps of Engineers New York District 26 Federal Plaza New York, NY 10278-0900

Mr. Doug Pabst, Team Leader Dredged Material Management Team US Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007-1866

VIA FACSIMILE AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

RE: PN # NAN 2007-1133-WSC; Permit Application for Consolidated Edison Co. of NY Maintenance Dredging with Placement of Dredged Material at the HARS

Dear Mr. Schumach, Mr. Tomer, and Mr. Pabst;

Enclosed are comments on behalf of Clean Ocean Action (COA), including the over 200,000 citizens who signed petitions against ocean dumping of contaminated dredged materials. The project proposes maintenance dredging of the Consolidated Edison South Berthing Area of Pier 98 to the authorized depth of 21 feet MLW plus 2 feet overdepth with disposal of approximately 35,000 CY of dredged material at the HARS.

Clean Ocean Action has concerns about the quality of this sediment for use as remediation material at the HARS for the following reasons:

1. Bioaccumulation of Contaminants from Project Sediments

The 28-day Bioaccumulation Test results reported the following toxins were bioaccumulated to statistically significant levels in worms and clams exposed to Consolidated Edison sediments as compared to reference sediments.

Clam – All 16 PAHs plus total PAHs, 20 PCBs of 22 plus total PCBs, 5 Pesticides including Dieldrin, Endosulfan sulfate, 4,4-DDD, 2,4-DDD,

and 4,4-DDE plus Total DDT, 4 Dioxins and 3 Metals for a total of 51 contaminants significantly above reference.

Worm – 15 of 16 PAHs plus total PAHs, 20 of 22 PCBs plus total PCBs, 7 Pesticides including a-Chlordane, trans-Nonachlor, Dieldrin, Endosulfan I, 4,4-DDT, 4,4-DDD, 2,4-DDD, 4,4-DDE, and 2,4-DDE plus Total DDT, 3 Dioxins, and 5 Metals for a total of 53 contaminants significantly above reference.

In addition, **the concentration of 107.5 ug/kg total PCBs in worms**, *Nereis virens*, **exposed to Consolidated Edison sediments** was only 5.5 ug/kg below the regional matrix value for PCBs of 113ug/kg. Since this is a mean value, there were likely a subset of worms that bioaccumulated total PCBs at a concentration that exceeded the regional matrix value, a clear indication that the sediments are too contaminated to use for remediation of the HARS.

Taken together, the near exceedance of the PCB regional matrix value, the extremely low LC50 & EC50 for blue mussel larvae, *Mytilus edulis* of 22.4% (normal larval development) and 41% (larval survival) and the substantial number of contaminants that bioaccumulated to significant levels in both the clam and the worm, all raise serious concerns about the quality of the sediments in the project area. To cite USACOE/EPA's own language:" the presence in the HARS of toxic effects, dioxin bioaccumulation exceeding Category I levels in worm tissue and TCDD/PCB contamination in area lobster stocks. Individual elements of the aforementioned data do not prove that sediments within the Study Area are imminent hazards to the New York Bight Apex ecosystem, living resources, or human health. However, the collective evidence presents cause for concern, justifies that a need for remediation exists, that the site is Impact Category I and the site should be managed to reduce impacts to acceptable levels¹

Using the above-cited logic exhibited by the USACOE/EPA, the collective evidence presented in the sediment test results conclude the project sediments presents a "cause for concern". Sediments from Consolidated Edison will not reduce levels of Dioxins, PCBs or PAHs at HARS and the use of these sediments will allow elevated levels of these contaminants to persist at this site relative to areas outside of the HARS.

2. Continued Use of an Outdated Evaluation Framework

An outdated and inappropriate evaluation framework is being used, including effects levels that do not incorporate new information regarding effects of toxins on benthic communities and associated food chains. COA's previous comments have consistently specified technical reasons why the evaluation framework currently used for assessing bioaccumulation and chronic toxicity cannot be used for determining Material for Remediation and why it cannot protect against adverse effects. Failure of the USACOE/EPA to update the evaluation framework developed in 1996 (using data from 1980) in a timely manner has undermined remediation efforts at the HARS by continuously allowing the disposal of sediments containing elevated levels of Dioxins, PAHs and PCBs. The approval of sediments from this maintenance dredging operation is a perfect example of the lack of protection provided by the current framework. The fact that the

¹ HARS rulemaking preamble (62 Fed. Reg. 46142; 62 Fed. Reg. 26267).

current framework did not identify these sediments as inappropriate serves to illustrate the fact that the framework cannot select for sediments that will reduce levels of contamination at HARS and cannot select against sediments that have the potential to cause adverse ecological effects to the NY Bight.

Clean Ocean Action attended a meeting on June 25, 2007, held by the USEPA and USACOE to introduce RMW members to an entirely different approach to determining HARS suitability of project sediments that is currently being developed exclusively by the two agencies without input from the RMW. Clean Ocean Action was told at that time, that the RMW would receive quarterly updates to report on progress and activities conducted on the Testing Evaluation Framework (TEF). The USEPA/USACOE also agreed to organize workshops for the RMW to participate in detailed technical discussions of specific areas of the evaluation process that may be considered for inclusion in any final or proposal TEF. We have yet to receive a single update or report and no follow-up meetings or workshops have been announced.

As we have stated, Clean Ocean Action is alarmed by this new direction and the exclusive manner in which it is being developed.

In conclusion, Clean Ocean Action has presented numerous issues regarding the proposed placement of 35,000 CY of dredged material at HARS from maintenance dredging operations at Pier 98 at the Consolidated Edison Co. of NY. A written response to these comments is requested.

Sincerely,

Cindy Zipf Executive Director

Jennifer C. Aamson

Jennifer Samson, Ph.D. Principal Scientist

cc: Consistency Coordinator, New York State Department of Environmental Protection